

Luttons Parish Council

Minutes of the ordinary meeting of Luttons Parish Council held on
Wednesday 8 September 2021 at 7:00 pm

Present	Apologies
John Wane (Chairman) (JW)	Tony Ives (TI)
Martin Pearce (Vice Chairman) (MP)	
Rob Harling (RH)	
Sammi Haigh (SH)	
Richard Ogden (RO)	
Maggie Pickard (EMP)	
Janice Robinson (Clerk) (JR)	

1	To receive apologies and approve reasons for absence. Tony Ives due to work commitments. APPROVED
2	To receive any declaration of interests not already declared under the council's code of conduct or members' Register of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests. RH declared an interest in item 4 due as a relation of the applicant.
3	To confirm the minutes of the last ordinary meeting held on 22 June 2021 and the extraordinary meeting held on 22 July 21 as true and correct records. Proposed JW seconded MP in respect of 22 June 21 meeting; proposed SH and seconded RH in respect of 22 July 21 meeting. RESOLVED
4.	To approve the co-option of Mrs EM (Maggie) Pickard to the Parish Council. JW asked EMP whether she had any questions and in which aspects of the Parish Council's roles and responsibilities was she interested. EMP responded that she was happy to help with most things. JW stated that the PC needs to be unbiased and it was important that it be a voice of the local community and seen as a body that didn't just talk about issues but actually took action when it could. Co-option Proposed RH; Seconded by RO RESOLVED
5.	To consider and decide upon the following planning application: APPLICATION NO: 21/00889/73A APPLICANT: Mr A Pickard DESCRIPTION: Variation of condition 01 of planning approval 16/00264/REM dated 10.08.2017 to allow omission of garages to 17 and 18 Hillside Way, inclusion of roof lights, amendments to access and repositioning of fence to 19 Hillside Way and omission of common parking LOCATION: 17, 18 And 19 Hillside Way West Lutton, YO17 8TE (Note: This application was considered at the extraordinary meeting on 22 July 21. An issue with the certificates provided for the original application resulted in the application being invalid; this has been resolved and a new consultation has therefore been issued.) Proposed MP; Seconded SH that subject to there being no material changes to the previous application the Parish Council's comments should remain as those shown in the minutes for the meeting held on 22 July 21. RESOLVED
5a.	To receive information on the following ongoing issues and decide further action where necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vehicle Activated Signs <p>JW stated that as SK and TI had undertaken to research the possibility of acquiring VAS for the three villages and they were not in attendance, discussion would be limited. MP stated that if portable signs were utilised they would have to be moved regularly. JW was concerned that if solar powered signs were acquired the batteries might be stolen. SH queried whether VAS actually changed behaviour. MP stated that speeds through</p>

Weaverthorpe Parish had dropped once a speed watch group had started to watch and record traffic through the village but this required a team of at least 6 volunteers who needed some training.

- Project for a children's play area in West Lutton

RH had put up a display of plans for a play area drawn up by 3 companies who had been invited to survey the proposed site and submit their proposals for it. SH stated that the play area project group had surveyed the community using social media, The Warbler newsletter and email. 35 people had responded, the overwhelming majority of whom were parents or grandparents. The play area group had asked for the ages of children and what they wanted to see in a play area. 3 and 4 year olds were the biggest group, followed by 7- 11 year olds. SH and RH stated that as play equipment has to comply with relevant standards and legislation and the 3 companies who had submitted proposals for the play area were all fully conversant with these, adopting one of their plans and purchasing their equipment would ensure legal compliance and reduce insurance premiums. The play group had considered the longevity of materials and favoured metal equipment as this lasted at least 25 years. The group felt 2 good plans had been submitted but were expensive, the costliest being in the region of £170,000. However, this included a number of features that weren't required and the plan could be scaled down to reduce cost. The play equipment cost was less than half of the figure as 60 per cent was the cost of building paths, fences etc. One of the problems is that the land has a 2 in 7 drop which requires walls to level it up. MP stated that levelling land was expensive and there could be a number of ways to address the problem whilst building in features for the play area e.g. banks being used as a slide. The group strongly felt that the decision regarding which plan to use required community engagement and that it was important to ask children what they would like. It was also important that the plan was inclusive and allowed children with disabilities to use the site. The plan for the area had to be agreed before planning permission was sought and NYCC would not gift the 845 square metre piece of land without planning permission. The group had initially thought a budget of £65,000 would be required but had revised that upwards to £100,000. The group was confident that grants could be obtained from a number of sources. JW stated that impressive work had already been undertaken. MP and RO were concerned that the park didn't attract too many people from out of the area as parking would be an issue.

Note: this item has been numbered 5a due to incorrect numbering on agenda. For this reason there is also no item 8,10 or 12.

6. To receive a report from the Environmental sub group.
- MP had circulated a report prior to the meeting. The environmental sub group hoped to plant more trees around the border of the field adjacent to the Wintringham and West Lutton Main Road in the Autumn. Permission would be sought from the landowner. J. Pattinson had trimmed a cherry blossom tree in West Lutton. A new planter had been installed and planted up by RO at the corner of Back Lane and Wintringham Road. L. Burrell had kindly offered to look after the West Lutton planters and they were already looking much improved. The contractor had been contacted about grass cutting and a further cut would take place shortly. MP had been trying to arrange a meeting between organisations that had some responsibility over various aspects of the Gypsy Race. He had finally managed to agree a date: 29 September at 10 am in West Lutton church, representatives from NYCC Highways team, NYCC Environment team and Councillor Sanderson to attend. Natural England and the Environment Agency had declined to attend. MP wants to discuss management of the Gypsy Race and determine a rolling programme for its continued management that is sustainable, manageable and financially viable. One of the issues is that NYCC will not clear the drains under the Main Road as they are lower than the Gypsy Race. MP stated he had volunteers to clear the overgrown path alongside the Main Road in West Lutton.
- MP had received a complaint from a resident regarding dog fouling. RO stated that the stencils asking people to clear up after their dogs needed re doing and he would carry out

	<p>this work. RO also to carry out some strimming. <i>ACTION RO</i></p> <p>JW had contacted the planning team regarding the building plot opposite where he lives. Planning regulations don't allow the district council to revisit the planning permission granted even though the land has become a flood risk area.</p> <p>MP to advise JR where he wants the Gypsy Race maintenance clearance to take place in January/ February. <i>ACTION MP</i></p> <p>RO suggested planting an avenue of flowering cherry trees opposite the memorial bench. Proposed RO; Seconded RH that these be purchased. <i>ACTION RO</i></p> <p>SH stated that one of the cherry trees taken down in Hillside Way was re- growing from the stump and there was a dip in the grass behind it from the removal work which was a trip hazard. JR to contact NYCC. <i>ACTION JR</i></p> <p>A discussion took place regarding erecting a Christmas tree in West Lutton. This had kindly been arranged and paid for last year by TI and SK. The purchase of a Christmas tree Proposed SH; Seconded RH RESOLVED that a tree up to the price of £100 (including lights) be purchased <i>ACTION RH</i></p>
7.	<p>To receive an update on the maintenance of the parish defibrillators. RH stated that all defibrillators had now been reregistered with the new coordinating body. An article asking for defibrillator 'guardians' had been placed in The Warbler. Nigel Beresford had agreed to look after the Helperthorpe defibrillator. More than one guardian could be registered for a defibrillator and minimal work was required. RO required whether training was required; RH stated no. RO said he was able to help with the West Lutton defibrillator. RH would co-ordinate guardians and also keep checking West Lutton and East Lutton defibrillators until other guardians were recruited. RH to ask for volunteers on Facebook. <i>ACTION RH</i></p>
9.	<p>Financial matters</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To approve payment of £42 for Parish Online. Proposed MP; Seconded RH APPROVED 2. To note the following payments: £ 250 to J Pattinson (for tree surgery); £75 to KWI Household Services (for a covid clean of the school hall) NOTED 3. To receive the bank reconciliation to 21 August 2021 and the latest budget position. NOTED
11.	<p>To consider correspondence received and decide action where necessary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bus Service Improvement Plan consultation. NOTED • Local Government reorganisation in North Yorkshire <p>JW stated that the outcome was as expected.</p>
13.	<p>To notify the clerk of matters for inclusion on the agenda of the next meeting. Play area; Vehicle Activated signs; Gypsy Race; Future of the public house in West Lutton</p>
14.	<p>To confirm the date and venue of the next scheduled meeting. TI had stated that he would prefer a Monday or a Tuesday. Monday 8 November 2021 was set for the next meeting. RH advised he would be unable to attend due to work commitments. JW asked everyone to confirm that they would be present or send apologies when the agenda was published. <i>ACTION All</i></p>

Meeting ended 8.35 p.m.